

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,094	09/28/2001	Siddhartha Bhowmik	Bhowmik 12-146-1/075903-3	7197
29391	7590 01/04/2005		EXAM	INER
	ROWNLEE WOLTE ORANGE AVENUE	ERDEM, FAZLI		
SUITE 2500		.02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		2826	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				KL.			
*		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-,-			
Office Action Summary		09/967,094	BHOWMIK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Fazli Erdem	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replimeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS of cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communicatio ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status	, , , ,	•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	entember 2004					
′=		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is	S			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9,11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) 2.5.6,8,10,18 and 19 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- ···	\	d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureautee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Lee et al. disclose a tungsten layer disposed between a tungsten plug and the adhesion layer. Lee et al. fail to disclose the adhesion layer to be tungsten silicide. However, Cadien et al. disclose tungsten silicide adhesion layer. Examiner agrees with the applicant's representative that neither neither Lee et al. nor Cadien et al. by themselves disclose the required interconnection structure. However, their combination, with the necessary motivation do teach the whole claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 12-16 allowed.
- 3. Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 18 and 19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (5,956,609) in view of Cadien et al. (5,604,158).

Regarding Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 17, Lee et al. disclose a method for reducing stress and improving step-coverage of tungsten interconnects and plugs where in Fig. 3E, a tungsten layer 52 is situated between a tungsten plug 54 and adhesion layer 50. Lee et al. fail to disclose the adhesion layer to be tungsten silicide. However, Cadien et al. disclose an integrated tungsten/tungsten silicide plug process where in Fig. 3C, the tungsten silicide adhesion layer is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required tungsten silicide adhesion layer in Lee et al. as taught by Cadien et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with higher performance.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/967,094

Art Unit: 2826

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE December 25, 2004